AUG 18 2006

Certified Copy of Priority

Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application

Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

Document(s)

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number TRABEN **Application Number** 10/659.705 Filing Date TRANSMITTAL 09/11/2003 First Named Inventor **FORM** A. Thomas LOOK et al. Art Unit 1632 **Examiner Name** V. Bertoglio (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 112706.123US2 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC 1 Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Fee Attached Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC ~ Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information **Provisional Application** After Final Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify **Terminal Disclaimer** Extension of Time Request below): Request for Refund - Postcard Express Abandonment Request CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Name	Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP					
Signature	Belida few					
Printed name	Belinda M. Lew					
Date	AUGUST 18, 200 6 Reg. No. 53,212	-				

Remarks

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Date

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/17 (12-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Fees pursuant to the Consultated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).			espond to a collection of information unless if displays a valid OMB control number Complete if Known					
			Application Number		10/659,705			
FEE TR	ANS	MITTA	L	Filing Date			9/11/2003	
For FY 2005				First Named Inventor		A. Thomas LOOK et al.		
Applicant claims small	entity status	See 37 CFR 1 27		Examiner Name		V. Bertoglio		
				Art Unit 1632				
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYN	ENT (\$)	225.00		Attorney Docket	No.	112706.123US2		JS2
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)								
Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify) Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 08-0219 Deposit Account Name: and Dorr LLP For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)								
Charge fee(s)	indicated be	low		Charg	e fee(s)	indicated below,	except for	the filing fee
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Credit any overpayments under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card Information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.								
FEE CALCULATION	011 4110 5	VA 14111 TION F						
1. BASIC FILING, SEAR Application Type	FILING F			CH-FEES Small Entity Fee (\$)	EXAM	/INATION FEE Small Entity (\$) Fee (\$)	,	es Paid (\$)
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	0	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	0	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	0	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	0	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	(0		
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Fee Description Each claim over 20 or, for Reissues, each claim over 20 and more than in the original patent Each independent claim over 3 or, for Reissues, each independent claim more than in the original patent Each independent claims Multiple dependent claims Total Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Multiple Dependent Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)								
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20 Indep. Claims								
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper, the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) (round up to a whole number) x								
4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other: Petition for Extension of Time Fees Paid (\$) 225.00								
SUBMITTED BY								

SUBMITTED BY				
Signature	Belinds Fer	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	53,212	Telephone 202-663-6029
Name (Print/Type)	Belinda M. Lew			Date AUGUST 18, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

IN THE STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) Atty. Docket No.: 112706.123US2
A. Thomas LOOK et al.) Ally. Docket No.: 112/00.123032
Serial No.: 10/659,705) Group Art Unit: 1632
Filed: September 11, 2003) Examiner: V. BERTOGLIO
	3

For: TRANSGENIC CANCER MODELS IN FISH

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

This response is submitted in reply to the Restriction Requirement dated May 18, 2006, for which a response is due on or before August 18, 2006, with a two-month extension of time. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any necessary fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 08-0219 to maintain the pendency of this application.

98/21/2006 JADD01 90909034 080219 10659705 01 FC:2252 225.00 DA

I. Request for Reconsideration of Restriction Requirement

Under 37 C. F. R. § 1.143, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the restriction requirement dated May 18, 2006.

The Examiner restricted the invention as follows:

Group I

Claims 1-24, 31-59,¹ and 67-74

Group II

Claims 25-30 and 60-66

Claims 25-30 and 60-66

Transgenic fish whose genome comprises an oncogene operably linked to a promoter and a method using the fish to screen for drugs

Transgenic fish whose genome comprises an FRT-flanked reporter gene and oncogene operably linked to a ubiquitous promoter wherein a transcriptional stop codon is inserted between the reporter gene and oncogene and method of using the fish to screen for drugs

Applicants respectfully submit that the restriction requirement is improper. A restriction requirement is proper when (1) the inventions are independent and distinct as claimed, 35 U.S.C. § 121; and (2) there is a serious burden on the Examiner. MPEP § 803. Applicants respectfully submit that there is no serious burden on the Examiner to examine Groups I and II together.

To show that the inventions are distinct, the Examiner must show either that (1) there is a separate classification of the claims; (2) a separate status in the art when they are classifiable together; or (3) a different field of search. Applicants respectfully submit that none of these three criteria have been shown with the claims of this application.

Both of the examiner's Groups are classified in class 800, subclass 20. Both of the examiner's Groups are drawn to novel transgenic fish whose genome comprises an

Applicants assume that the Examiner meant to refer to claims 31-59, not 31-36-39.

Page 3

oncogene operably linked to a promoter and a method for using the fish to screen for drugs. It is believed that the Examiner's search of prior art of interest with respect to the patentability of the claims of Group I, directed to a transgenic fish comprising oncogenes linked to promoters, would also provide the Examiner with the information required to assess the patentability of the claims of Group II, directed to a transgenic fish comprising oncogenes linked to ubiquitous promoters with operably linked reporter genes and a separating stop codon, because both searches would encompass transgenic fish whose genome comprises an oncogene operably linked to a promoter, regardless of the mechanism of how the transgene is controlled and how the fish are constructed. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the examination of Groups I and II together would not pose a serious burden on the Examiner and reconsideration of the restriction requirement is, therefore, requested.

Furthermore, Applicants have elected the examination of the species, **RAG2**, as the promoter species, with traverse. MPEP § 803.02 states the following:

If the members of the Markush group are sufficiently few in number or so closely related that a search and examination of the entire claim can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine all claims on the merits, even though they are directed to independent and distinct inventions.

Applicants respectfully submit that it would not require a serious burden on the Examiner to examine each of the promoter species together. Nevertheless, upon the finding that the elected species is allowable over the prior art, Applicants acknowledge that, under MPEP § 803.02, examination would be extended to the additional non-elected species.

Applicants also reserve the right to prosecute the other promoter species in a divisional application.

, Serial No. 10/659,705

Response to Restriction Requirement May 18, 2006

Page 4

Applicants also have elected the examination of the oncogene species MYC, with

traverse. Pursuant to MPEP § 803.02, Applicants respectfully submit that it would not

require a serious burden on the Examiner to examine each of the oncogene species

together. Nevertheless, upon the finding that the elected species is allowable over the

prior art, Applicants acknowledge that, under MPEP § 803.02, examination would be

extended to the additional non-elected species. Applicants also reserve the right to

prosecute the other oncogene species in a divisional application.

II. Provisional Response to Restriction Requirement

Applicants provisionally elect Group I, claims 1-24, 31-59, and 67-74, with

traverse. Applicants further elect, with traverse, RAG2 as the promoter species of Group

I and, with traverse, MYC as the oncogene species to be examined in Group I.

III. Conclusion

Applicants respectfully request that the restriction requirement be withdrawn. An

early and favorable consideration and allowance of the pending claims is respectfully

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Belinda Lew, Ph.D.

Registration No. 53,212

Belods Jan

Agent for Applicants

Date: AUGUST 18, 2006

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20006

202-663-6029 (telephone)

202-663-6363 (facsimile)